

Applicants : Jan Geliebter, et al.
Serial No. : 09/531,969
Filed : March 21, 2000
Page 3

REMARKS

Further to the Advisory Action issued on July 6, 2005, applicants understand that applicants' reply filed on May 27, 2005 has not been entered. The present Amendment is being filed based on that understanding.

Claims 50, 54-56 and 59-64 were pending in the subject application. By this Amendment, applicants have canceled Claims 50, 54-56 and 59-64 without prejudice or disclaimer and added new Claims 65-67. Applicants maintain that new Claims 65-67 do not raise an issue of new matter.

Applicants note that the subject matter of new Claims 65-67 corresponds to that of previous dependent Claim 55. In a Office Action dated December 29, 2004, Examiner Kelly indicated that Claim 55 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Accordingly, applicants maintain that Claims 65-67 do not require further examination and are in condition for allowance.

Applicants : Jan Geliebter, et al.
Serial No. : 09/531,969
Filed : March 21, 2000
Page 4

CONCLUSIONS

In view of the amendments and remarks made herein above, passage of the pending claims to allowance is respectfully requested. Should there be any minor matters that prevent the allowance of the subject application, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned attorney.

No fee is deemed necessary in connection with this submission. However, if there are unanticipated fees required to maintain the pendency of this application, the PTO is authorized to withdraw the amount of any such fee from Deposit Account 01-1785.

Respectfully submitted,

AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP
Attorneys for Applicants
90 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10016
(212) 336-8000

Dated: New York, New York
July 19, 2005

By: 
Alan D. Miller, Reg. No. 42,889